

RECEIVED 0001/003
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
FEB 25 2005

Practitioner's Docket No. MI22-2524

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Warren M. Farnworth et al.

Application No.: 10/803,264
Filed: 03/17/04

Group No.: 2829
Examiner: R. Kobert

For: Method and Apparatus for Testing Semiconductor Circuitry for Operability and Method of Forming Apparatus for Testing Semiconductor Circuitry for Operability

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

CERTIFICATION OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that the following papers are being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office at (703) 872-9306 on the date shown below:

Facsimile Transmittal
Examiner Interview Summary of February 23, 2005

2/25/05
Date

Robin Saldivia


Signature

Total Pages 3

(Certification of Facsimile Transmission—page 1 of 1)

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

FEB 25 2005

MI22-2524

Application Serial No. 10/803,264
Examiner Interview Summary of February 23, 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application Serial No. 10/803,264
Filing Date March 17, 2004
Inventor Warren M. Farnworth et al.
Assignee Micron Technology, Inc.
Group Art Unit 2829
Examiner R. Kobert
Attorney's Docket No. MI22-2524
Title: Method and Apparatus for Testing Semiconductor Circuitry for Operability
and Method of Forming Apparatus for Testing Semiconductor Circuitry for
Operability

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY OF FEBRUARY 23, 2005

To: Commissioner for Patents Via Facsimile 1-703-872-9306
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

From: D. Brent Kenady
Tel. 509-624-4276; Fax 509-838-3424
Wells St. John P.S.
601 W. First Avenue, Suite 1300
Spokane, WA 99201-3817

Applicant's representative held an interview with Examiner Kobert on February 23, 2005. Applicant's representative would like to thank Examiner Kobert for his time and attention to this matter.

The 35 U.S.C. §112, first and second paragraphs, rejections against claim 32 were discussed. Agreement was reached to amend claim 32 to recite claim language that has been previously discussed and approved by the Examiner in a co-pending application wherein that previously discussed claim is presently

Application Serial No. 10/803,264
Examiner Interview Summary of February 23, 2005

MI22-2524


allowed. The amended claim 32 will be presented in Applicant's response to the Office Action dated November 29, 2004.

Regarding the §112 second paragraph rejection against claim 31, Applicant's Representative stressed to the Examiner that the rationales presented by the Examiner *for rejecting claim 31 are not relevant to a determination of indefiniteness* under a §112, second paragraph. The Examiner asked that Applicant's Representative present his arguments regarding the inappropriateness of the §112 rejection against claim 31 in the response to the Office Action dated November 29, 2004.

Applicant would like to again thank Examiner Kobert for his time and attention to this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 2-25-05

By: 
D. Brent Kenady
Reg. No. 40,045